

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
DIVISION

RECEIVED

APR 28 2000

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DOCKETED

MAY 02 2000

Evelyn J. Hunter  
3308-N-Albany St  
Chicago, IL 60618  
(Name of the plaintiff or plaintiffs)

V.

clerk)

De Paul University  
1-E-Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, IL  
(Name of the defendant or defendants)

CIVIL ACTION

00C 2579

NO.

(Case number will be supplied by the assignment)

JUDGE KOCORAS

MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER

COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION

1. This is an action for employment discrimination.

2. The plaintiff is Evelyn J. Hunter of  
the county of Cook in the state of Illinois.

3. The defendant is De Paul University, who  
resides at (street address) 25-East-Jackson  
(city) Chicago (county) Cook (state) IL (ZIP) 60604  
(Defendant's telephone number) 312 - \_\_\_\_\_

4) The plaintiff sought employment or was employed by the defendant at

(street address) 25- East- Jackson Blvd  
(city) Chicago (county) Cook (state) IL (ZIP code) 60604

5. The plaintiff [check one box]

- (a) ☐ was denied employment by the defendant.  
(b) ☐ was hired and is still employed by the defendant.  
(c) ☒ was employed but is no longer employed by the defendant.

6. The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about,

(month) April, (day) \_\_\_\_\_, (year) 1998.

7. (a) The plaintiff [check one box] ☐ *has not* filed a charge or charges against the defendant  
☒ *has*  
asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this complaint with any of the following  
government agencies:

(i) ☐ the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on or about  
(month) \_\_\_\_\_ (day) \_\_\_\_\_ (year) \_\_\_\_\_.

(ii) ☐ the Illinois Department of Human Rights on or about  
(month) Nov (day) 10 (year) 1998.

(b) If charges *were* filed with an agency indicated above, a copy of the charge is  
attached. ☒ YES ☐ NO

It is the policy of both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois  
Department of Human Rights to cross-file with the other agency all charges received. The  
plaintiff has no reason to believe that this policy was not followed in this case.

8. (a) ☐ the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has not issued a *Notice of Right to Sue*.
- (b) ☒ the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has issued a *Notice of Right to Sue*, which was received by the plaintiff on (month) March (day) 3 (year) 2000 a copy of which *Notice* is attached to this complaint.
9. The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff because of the plaintiff's [check all that apply]
- (a) ☒ Age (Age Discrimination Employment Act).
- (b) ☐ Color (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
- (c) ☐ Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act)
- (d) ☐ National Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
- (e) ☐ Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
- (f) ☒ Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- (g) ☐ Sex (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
10. The plaintiff is suing the defendant, a state or local government agency, for discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin (42 U.S.C. §1983). ☒ YES ☐ NO
11. Jurisdiction over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: over Title VII claims by 28 U.S.C. §1331, 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(3); over 42 U.S.C. §1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C. §1988; over the A.D.E.A. by 42 U.S.C. §12117.

12. The defendant [check all that apply]

- (a) ☐ failed to hire the plaintiff.
- (b) ☒ terminated the plaintiff's employment.
- (c) ☐ failed to promote the plaintiff.
- (d) ☐ failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
- (e) ☐ failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.
- (f) ☐ other

(specify): On November 6, 1998

defendant terminated the Plaintiff's  
employment, for directly responsible  
for creating a hostile work  
environment for others.

13. The facts supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows:

Please see attached sheets  
for the facts.

Item 13. The facts supporting the Plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows:

A - I Evelyn J. Hunter (Plaintiff) believe Director Robert Wachowski, intentionally and willfully, discriminated against me the Plaintiff.

B - On April 5<sup>th</sup> 1999 I requested a copy of my human resource file, this is when I discovered documents in my file that were requested to be removed from my file, and upon request were removed from my file, and later date they were put back into my file,

Note: these were resolved issues;

I hold Security Director Robert Wachowski responsible for not allowing me to use the restroom as needed.

This started a hostile work environment. These issues were resolved when I took this serious complaint to Barbara Schaffer (ombuds person for De Paul University). Since the files were re-entered I do believe it was done to make me look bad and to retaliate.

-2-

Item 13\_

Continued:

C - I believe Director Robert Wachowski intentionally and willfully allowed nepotism in the Security Department of the Paul University. Relatives were placed into management's positions and over other relatives which was creating a hostile work environment.

Example: Promoted Mike Dome and Wachowski gave Sgt. Jimmy Warren directives that were harmful for me such as denial of using the rest room as needed to keep Warren from being promoted.

D - The end of January 1998

I the Plaintiff, had blood in my urine, I made a Doctor's appointment and discussed this issue with my Supervisor Frank Bellizzi. Bellizzi granted me permission day to see my Doctor.

- 3 -

Item 13 continued:

D - I the Plaintiff took the personal day to see my Doctor, on the following day, I was called into the Security Office by assistant Director Mike Dome, when I entered the office, Mike Dome closed the door and asked me to have a seat, he proceeded to tell me the day I saw my Doctor, would be considered an un-excused absent, he also stated it would go into my human resources file for one year, I Plaintiff stated to Mike Dome, this is un-fair, Supervisor Frank Bellizzi was aware of my condition and approved my seeing the Doctor, at this time Mike Dome stated to me that Director Wachowski, wants the day of my seeing my Doctor documented as an un-approved day off, even though I had the note from my Doctor and approval from Supervisor Frank Bellizzi. Mike Dome also stated for me to be careful as Director Wachowski was building a file on me, I began to cry,



- 4 -

Item 13  
Continued:

Therefore, I took the complaint to the Human Resources department and the un-excused absents for seeing my Doctor was retracted.

E - In March 1998 Security Officer Stacey Blocha E-mailed me several times, asking for me to join her in a Jovanka Wittness faction. I also received Jovanka Wittness Pamphlets from Officer Blocha in my mail box in the Security Room. I knew this was a sensitive issue, therefore, I took this situation to my Supervisor Frank Bellizzi. Bellizzi was in the <sup>(Security)</sup> Mail Room some time I removed Jovanka Wittness reading material from my mail box. I then told Sgt. Bellizzi of the many E-mail letters I received from Officer Blocha regarding Jovanka Wittness meetings and welcome note. I informed Bellizzi at this time I was aware of this being a sensitive issue and that I did not know how to handle it.



-5-

Item 13

continued: At this time  
Sgt. Bellizzi stated to me  
he would talk with Officer Blocher  
and with Director Wachowski;

Note: I never did know what  
Sgt. Bellizzi told Officer  
Blocher, however, it is in  
my belief - it only caused  
a hostile work environment.

F- It was Sgt. Bellizzi who  
approached Officer John Lahey  
and myself, while on duty.  
At this time Sgt. Bellizzi told  
both Officer Lahey and myself,  
that, Larry Lewis told him that  
Stacey Blocher had a Blood  
Problem.

Note: This was a Supervisor  
giving out Confidential  
Information about another  
Officer, and allowing  
Officer Larry Lewis to  
discuss it him.

- 6 -

Item 13 Continued:

A - On April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1998 Security Office Clerk at De Paul University. On this day Security Clerk Greene Security Clerk Mary Greene

Stated to me the Plaintiff that I better watch out for my job, because lot of young people can replace me, Greene, referred to me as an (Old Hag) Mary Greene also stated to me the Plaintiff - that I will pay for it for going over her Cousin's head who is Mike Dome, referring to the time I was given an un-excused day off for seeing my Doctor after it was approved by Sgt. Bellizzi - then I took it to Human Resources to have retracted as it was approved, for this Ms. Greene said I have to pay.

At this time Ms. Greene stated to me the Plaintiff that she would replace me in uniform, she stated she is now 21 years of age.

- 7 -  
Item 13

Continued:

4 - many Greene also stated to me that my employer was hiring an outside investigator to advise them on how to get rid of Olala People in the Security Department legally, that is so no law suits will come about.

Ms. Greene also stated to me that Sgt. Erita Evans would give up her rank and replace me, at Loop Campus and Ms. Greene would go to Lincoln PK. Campus, Ms. Greene also stated

she wanted to work under her Cousin (Assistant Director Mike Bone) and her Mother (Supervisor Murren Greene) and her Grand Father and two Uncles all at Lincoln PK. Campus, in the Security Department.

- 8 -

Item 13 continued:

A - I the Plaintiff asked Mrs. Greene where she got her information, (Mrs. Greene) stated; After I asked her if it was from her relatives? Greene stated (you had damn right) at this time the telephone rang, I stated I needed to use the wash room, Greene stated (no you are not!) I'm not finished talking to you - I proceeded to go to the wash room, upon returning from the wash room, this is when Mary Greene threatened me.

note: Refer to Police report attached and investigation report dated April 16<sup>th</sup> 1998.

This behavior of Mrs. Greene I believe was to create a hostile work environment for me to feel pressure to get rid of me, so Mrs. Greene would then move into Security Department.

note: Mrs. Greene is now a Security Officer

## Item 13 Continued:

H - Officer Stacey Blocher's allegations <sup>made</sup> against me are false - I do believe Sgt. Bellizzi and Mr. Mary Greene and Officer Stacey Blocher → started this he said, she said, as a smoke screen, to create a hostile work place, to get rid of Older people, to be replaced by younger people. as it was Mr. Greene and Sgt. Bellizzi who accused me of saying about Officer Blocher. I was never allowed to face my accusers, I believe it was for this reason their false accusations would have blown their smoke screen away.

I - It was Officer Larry Lewis and Sgt. Bellizzi who spoke about Officer Blocher's health.

- 10 -

Item 13 - continued

I the plaintiff believe all the evidence mentioned above, points to age discrimination, allowed by Director Robert Wachowski, he never allowed for me to face my accusers, he also allowed nepotisms to smoke screen the truth, for me to be replaced by younger person.

Note:

Everything Mary Greene told me did happen, as Mary Greene is now a Security Officer and at Lincoln P.K. Campus, and Evita Evans did give up her rank and is now an officer at Loop Campus. Both 20's.

Eighteen Mr. Greene is a mind reader or upper Management leaked the information to her.

Mr. Greene was also correct in saying an outside investigator would come into the department and advise employees how to legally get rid of people, and he is in



- 115  
Item 13 Continued

I do believe my discharge is Pretextual, as my employer did hire an out side investigator Paul Naselli's

Note: Paul Naselli is a former employee of the Human Rights Dept. holds a law degree from De Paul University.

Paul Naselli came into the Security department of De Paul University and advised my employer how to get rid of Officer's legally so no law suit would come about. Just as Mr. Greene stated it would be. Upper management is Mr. Greene's relatives.

It was Sgt. Bellizzi who discussed Officer Blacher health with Officer Larry Lewis and brought the information to Officer John Fahy and my self. Larry Lewis neighbor of Robert Wachowski never got anything from his gossip or leaking Confidential Information.



- 62 -

Item 13  
Continued

Due to my termination  
my employer saved a  
substantial amount of money,  
Such as my Pension, Salary,  
health insurance payments  
after my retirement by  
replacing me with younger  
persons.

I believe the above  
mentioned leads to age  
discrimination, I hope you  
will reach the same conclusion.

I remain,  
Evelyn J. Hunter

To: File

From: Bob Wachowski

Subject: Investigation

Date: April 16, 1998

*not yet a year ago!*

The following outline is a summary of the investigation that was conducted regarding the incident that occurred on April 3, 1998 between Mary Greene and Evelyn Hunter.

- Director Wachowski and Assistant Director Shire met with Mary Greene shortly after the incident had occurred. Ms. Greene was asked to submit in writing all of the events that led up to the confrontation (see attached). Ms. Greene did indicate that she had been taunted by officer Hunter earlier in the day. Ms. Greene also indicated that there was a confrontation between the two parties earlier in the day. Ms. Greene did admit to the Director that she lost her cool and threatened officer Hunter. She also indicated that she called Ms. Hunter a psycho-fucking bitch. Ms. Greene said that she was sorry for the incident and she realized that she should not have handled things this way.
- Director Wachowski met with Evelyn Hunter at Aprox. 4:00 p.m. on April 3, 1998. officer Hunter stated that Ms Greene had threatened her and she felt in fear of receiving bodily harm. Officer Hunter was asked to submit in writing all of the events that occurred (see attached).
- On April 3, 1998 Assistant Director Shire interviewed officer Edwin Love, who was in dispatch at the time of the incident. Officer Love stated that he heard Ms. Greene tell officer Hunter that she would break her fucking legs so you won't be able to pee no more. He also heard Ms. Greene say don't say anything to me- stay away from me and stated that Ms. Greene called her a psycho-fucking bitch.
- On April 6, 1998 officer Marcus Ferrell was interviewed by Assistant Director Shire. Ms. Greene had indicated that officer Ferrell was in the dispatch area when Ms. Hunter was taunting her. Officer Ferrell stated that at no time did he witness and taunting by officer Hunter.
- On April 6, 1998 Director Wachowski and Assistant Director Shire met with Ms. Greene to issue her final written counseling based on the investigation. Ms. Greene was again asked for a document outlining the events that led up to the incident. Ms. Greene indicated at this time that she could not remember threatening officer Hunter or calling her a psycho-bitch. Ms. Greene also stated that the only document she was willing to turn in was the one that she e-mailed me (see attached).

*Mary Greene is now an officer in the Security Department at the Paul University*

GENERAL OFFENSE CASE REPORT CHICAGO POLICE		1. OFFENSE/INCIDENT - PRIMARY CLASSIFICATION 6560		2. SECONDARY CLASSIFICATION SIMPLE		3. NO. 2202680	
ADDRESS OF OCCURRENCE STREET 23 Jackson -		4. DATE OF OCCURRENCE - TIME 3 Apr 98 1440		5. BEAT OR OCCUR 7188		6. DATE OF OCCURRENCE - TIME 3 Apr 98 1440	
7. NAME OF OFFENDER JACKSON -		8. FIRE RELATED 1 YES 2 NO		9. LOCATION CODE 140		10. DATE AND ARRIVED - TIME 3 Apr 98 1806	
11. ADDRESS OF OFFENDER BUSINESS OFFICE		12. SEX-AGE Boyle		13. HOME PHONE 312		14. BUSINESS PHONE 312	
15. HOME ADDRESS 60618		16. SEX-AGE 3562		17. HOME PHONE 588		18. BUSINESS PHONE 362	
19. NAME HUNTER EVELYN		20. SEX-AGE 26		21. HOME PHONE 1351		22. BUSINESS PHONE 8400	
23. NAME HUNTER EVELYN		24. SEX-AGE 26		25. HOME PHONE 1351		26. BUSINESS PHONE 8400	
27. NAME HUNTER EVELYN		28. SEX-AGE 26		29. HOME PHONE 1351		30. BUSINESS PHONE 8400	
31. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		32. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		33. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		34. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
35. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		36. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		37. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		38. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
39. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		40. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		41. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		42. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
43. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		44. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		45. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		46. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
47. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		48. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		49. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		50. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
51. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		52. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		53. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		54. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
55. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		56. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		57. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		58. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
59. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		60. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		61. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		62. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
63. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		64. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		65. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		66. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
67. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		68. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		69. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		70. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
71. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		72. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		73. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		74. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
75. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		76. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		77. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		78. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
79. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		80. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		81. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		82. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
83. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		84. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		85. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		86. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
87. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		88. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		89. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		90. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
91. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		92. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		93. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		94. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
95. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		96. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		97. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		98. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	
99. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		100. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		101. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE		102. 1 DISCOVERED 2 WITNESSED 3 REPORTED OFFENSE	

ALL INFORMATION, DESCRIPTIONS AND STATEMENTS IN THIS ENTIRE REPORT ARE UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THE PROPERTY OF THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT.

DATE OF OCCURRENCE: 3 Apr 98 1440  
DATE OF REPORT: 3 Apr 98 1806  
DATE OF REVIEW: 3 Apr 98 1830

REPORTING OFFICER: [Signature]  
OFFICER'S SIGNATURE: [Signature]  
OFFICER'S SIGNATURE: [Signature]

STAR NO. 17983  
STAR NO. 23493

CPD-11.380 (Rev. 8/94)

CONTINUATION  
OF NARRATIVE

A.D. NO.

-88ARR698 122222

## FOR USE BY BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES ONLY

I HAVE REVIEWED THIS REPORT SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE  
AND BY MY SIGNATURE (INDICATE THAT IT IS ACCEPTABLE)

DATE (DAY-MO-YR)

UCR CODE - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> REVERSED	REV. CODE	UCR METHOD CODE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIELD <input type="checkbox"/> SUMMARY	METHOD ASSIGNED <input type="checkbox"/> 1 FIELD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 ADMIN. <input type="checkbox"/> 3 SUMMARY	UNIT NO. 640	OFFICE ASSIGNED 20436	SUPV. ASST. NO. 1190	INVESTIGATIVE FILE <input type="checkbox"/> 1 YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	REASSIGNED <input type="checkbox"/> 1 YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
OFFICER REASSIGNED - DATE	STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> 1 CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 2 CLEARED CLOSED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CLEARED OPEN <input type="checkbox"/> 4 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 5 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 6 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 7 CLOSED-NON CRIMINAL	PROGRESS <input type="checkbox"/> 1 PROGRESS <input type="checkbox"/> 2 UNFOLDING <input type="checkbox"/> 3 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 4 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 5 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 6 EXC. CLEARED <input type="checkbox"/> 7 CLOSED-NON CRIMINAL	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST	IF CASE IS CLEARED, NOW CLEARED TIME THIS BOX FOR SINGLE CLEAR UP ON FIRST CLEAR UP OF MULTIPLE CLEAR UP LIST
VICTIM IDENTIFIERS <input type="checkbox"/> 1 CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> 2 REVERSED	VICTIM NO.	REVERSED NAME	REVERSED ADDRESS	REVERSED PHONE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	REVERSED PHONE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	REVERSED PHONE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	REVERSED PHONE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	REVERSED PHONE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS
VALUE OF PROPERTY TAKEN/RECOVERED <input type="checkbox"/> 1 NONE <input type="checkbox"/> 2 JEWELRY <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 4 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 5 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 6 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 7 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 8 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 9 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 10 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 11 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 12 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 13 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 14 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 15 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 16 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 17 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 18 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 19 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 20 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 21 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 22 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 23 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 24 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 25 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 26 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 27 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 28 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 29 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 30 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 31 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 32 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 33 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 34 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 35 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 36 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 37 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 38 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 39 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 40 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 41 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 42 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 43 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 44 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 45 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 46 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 47 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 48 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 49 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 50 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 51 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 52 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 53 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 54 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 55 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 56 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 57 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 58 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 59 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 60 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 61 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 62 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 63 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 64 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 65 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 66 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 67 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 68 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 69 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 70 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 71 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 72 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 73 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 74 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 75 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 76 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 77 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 78 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 79 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 80 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 81 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 82 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 83 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 84 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 85 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 86 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 87 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 88 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 89 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 90 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 91 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 92 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 93 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 94 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 95 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 96 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 97 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 98 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 99 CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 100 CLOTHING	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	1 DNA <input type="checkbox"/> 2 VERIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CONNECTED	

REMARKS (PERTINENT INFORMATION NOT ON ORIGINAL REPORT)

PREPARED BY - SIGNATURE

STAR NO. DATE (DAY-MO-YR)

APPROVED BY - SIGNATURE

STAR NO. DATE (DAY-MO-YR)



(g) ☒ If available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive relief, lost wages, liquidated/double damages, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorney fees and expert witness fees.

(h) ☒ Grant such other relief as the Court may find appropriate.

(Plaintiff's signature)

*Evelyn J. Hunter*

(Plaintiff's name)

*EVELYN J. HUNTER*

(Plaintiff's street address)

*3308-N ALBANY ST*

*apt. B CHICAGO, IL. 60618*

(City) *Chicago* (State) *IL.* (ZIP) *60618*

(Plaintiff's telephone number)

*(773) 588-1351*



## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

## DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: Evelyn Hunter  
3308 N ALBANY ST #B  
CHICAGO, IL 60618

From: E.E.O.C  
Chicago District Office  
500 W. Madison Street Suite 2800  
Chicago, IL 60661-2511

☐ On behalf of a person aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL ( 29 C.F.R. 1601.7(a) )

Charge Number

21B990395

EEOC Representative

Nola Smith, S&amp;L Coord.

Telephone Number

(312) 886-5973

## THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

- ☐ The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.
- ☐ Your allegations did not involve a disability that is covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- ☐ The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.
- ☐ We cannot investigate your charge because it was not filed within the time limit required by law.
- ☐ Having been given 30 days in which to respond, you failed to provide information, failed to appear or be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve your charge.
- ☐ While reasonable efforts were made to locate you, we were not able to do so.
- ☐ You had 30 days to accept a reasonable settlement offer that affords full relief for the harm you alleged.
- ☐ The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.
- ☒ The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.
- ☐ Other (briefly state) \_\_\_\_\_

## - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this Notice; otherwise, your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

If you file suit based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On Behalf of the Commission



John P. Rowe, District Director

Enclosure(s)

3 March 2000  
(Date)

cc: DEPAUL UNIV  
25 E JACKSON BLVD  
CHICAGO, IL 60604



STATE OF ILLINOIS  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

IN THE MATTER OF:

EVELYN HUNTER,

COMPLAINANT,

AND

DEPAUL UNIVERSITY,

RESPONDENT.

CHARGE NO. 1999CA11  
EEOC NO. 21B9903

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL  
FOR LACK OF SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Ms. Evelyn Hunter  
3308 N. Albany St., Apt. #B  
Chicago, IL 60618

Mr. Andrew Kopon  
Attorney at Law  
Cremer, Kopon, Shaughnessy  
Spina  
225 W. Wacker Dr., Ste 2500  
Chicago, IL 60606

DATE: July 20, 1999

REQUEST FOR REVIEW FILING DEADLINE: August 24, 1999

1. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that based upon the enclosed investigation report in this matter, the DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS (DHR) has determined that there is not SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE to support the allegations of the charge(s). Accordingly, pursuant to Section 7A-102(D) of the Human Rights Act (775 ILCS 5/1-101 et. seq. and its Rules and Regulations (56 Ill. Adm. Code. Chapter II, Sect 2520.810), the charge(s) is (are) HEREBY DISMISSED.

2. If Complainant disagrees with this action, Complainant may seek review of this dismissal before the CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL (CLC), Illinois Department of Human Rights, 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 10-100, Chicago, Illinois 60601 by filing a "Request for Review" with the CLC within 30 days after receipt of this Notice, by the request for review filing deadline above. Respondent will be notified by CLC if a Request for Review is filed.

Continued on page two

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

## DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: Evelyn Hunter  
3308 N ALBANY ST #B  
CHICAGO, IL 60618

From: E.E.O.C  
Chicago District Office  
500 W. Madison Street Suite 2800  
Chicago, IL 60661-2511

☐ On behalf of a person aggrieved whose identity is *CONFIDENTIAL* ( 29 C.F.R. 1601.7(a) )

Charge Number

21B990395

EEOC Representative

Nola Smith, S&amp;L Coord.

Telephone Number

(312) 886-5973

## THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

- ☐ The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.
- ☐ Your allegations did not involve a disability that is covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- ☐ The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.
- ☐ We cannot investigate your charge because it was not filed within the time limit required by law.
- ☐ Having been given 30 days in which to respond, you failed to provide information, failed to appear or be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve your charge.
- ☐ While reasonable efforts were made to locate you, we were not able to do so.
- ☐ You had 30 days to accept a reasonable settlement offer that affords full relief for the harm you alleged.
- ☐ The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.
- ☒ The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.
- ☐ Other (briefly state) \_\_\_\_\_

## - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this Notice; otherwise, your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

If you file suit based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On Behalf of the Commission



John P. Rowe, District Director

Enclosure(s)

3 March 2000  
(Date)

cc: DEPAUL UNIV  
25 E JACKSON BLVD  
CHICAGO, IL 60604

## CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION

AGENCY

CHARGE NUMBER

1999CA1133

This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act statement before completing this form.

☒ FEPA☒ EEOC

Illinois Department of Human Rights

and EEOC

State or local Agency, if any

NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)

MS. EVELYN HUNTER

HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

773-588-1351

STREET ADDRESS

2308 N. ALBANY ST., APT. B CHICAGO, IL 60618

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

DATE OF BIRTH

8/31/42

NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one, list them)

NAME

DEPAUL UNIVERSITY

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES  
MEMBERS

15+

TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

312-362-8500

STREET ADDRESS

25 E. JACKSON BLVD.

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

CHICAGO, IL 60604

COUNTY

COOK

NAME

TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

STREET ADDRESS

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

COUNTY

CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))

☐ RACE☐ COLOR☐ SEX☐ RELIGION☐ NATIONAL ORIGIN☐ RETALIATION☒ AGE☐ DISABILITY☐ OTHER

DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE

EARLIEST (ADE/FEA)

11/6/98

LATEST (ALL)

11/6/98

☐ CONTINUING ACTION

THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra sheet(s))

I. A. ISSUE/BASIS  
DISCHARGE ON NOVEMBER 6, 1998 DUE TO MY AGE, 56

B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

1. I am 56 years of age.

2. I was performing my job of public safety officer satisfactorily.

3. On November 6, 1998, I was discharged by Randy Shire (30's) Assistant Director of Security, for allegedly creating a hostile work environment which arose out of the fact that I was openly discussing the medical condition of another employee. The allegations are untrue. I never discussed anything about the medical condition of any employee.

cont'd.

☒ I also want this charge filed with the EEOC. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone Number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

OFFICIAL SEAL

Marilyn Sanchez  
Notary Public, State of Illinois  
My Commission Expires Aug. 7, 2001

NOTARY (when necessary for State and Local Requirements)

I review or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge information and belief.

SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

DATE

Evelyn J. Hunter 11/10/98  
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (Day, month, and year)

Charge no: 1999CA1133  
Ms. Hunter  
page 2.

4. I believe that the reason given for my discharge is pretextual because my employer had hired an outside investigator, Paul Naselli, to come in and recommend how to legally get rid of employees and that my employer was searching for a way to end the employment relationship.
5. Larry Lewis (30's) also was accused of creating a hostile work environment as I was but he was not discharged.
6. Evita Evans (20's) replaced me.

WVE/DAB/kir

## CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION

AGENCY

CHARGE NUMBER

1999CA1133

This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy act statement before completing this form.

☒ FEPA☒ EEOC

Illinois Department of Human Rights

and EEOC

State or local Agency, if any

NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)

MS. EVELYN HUNTER

HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

773-588-1351

STREET ADDRESS

2308 N. ALBANY ST., APT. B CHICAGO, IL 60618

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

DATE OF BIRTH

8/31/42

NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one, list below)

NAME

DEPAUL UNIVERSITY

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES  
MEMBERS

15+

TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

312-362-8500

STREET ADDRESS

25 E. JACKSON BLVD.

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

CHICAGO, IL 60604

COUNTY

COOK

NAME

TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)

STREET ADDRESS

CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE

COUNTY

CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box (es))

☐ RACE☐ COLOR☐ SEX☐ RELIGION☐ NATIONAL ORIGIN☐ RETALIATION☒ AGE☐ DISABILITY☐ OTHER

DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE

EARLIEST (ADP/FEPA)

11/6/98

LATEST (ALL)

11/6/98

☐ CONTINUING ACTION

THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra sheet(s))

I. A. ISSUE/BASIS  
DISCHARGE ON NOVEMBER 6, 1998 DUE TO MY AGE, 56

B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

1. I am 56 years of age.

2. I was performing my job of public safety officer satisfactorily.

3. On November 6, 1998, I was discharged by Randy Shire (30's) Assistant Director of Security, for allegedly creating a hostile work environment which arose out of the fact that I was openly discussing the medical condition of another employee. The allegations are untrue. I never discussed anything about the medical condition of any employee.

cont'd.

☒ I also want this charge filed with the EEOC. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone Number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of any charge in accordance with their procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

OFFICIAL SEAL

Marilyn Sanchez

Notary Public, State of Illinois

My Commission Expires Aug. 7, 2001

NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL

NOTARY (when necessary for State and Local Requirements)

I never or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

DATE

Evelyn J. Hunter 11/10/98

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (Day, month, and year)

Charge no: 1999CA1133  
Ms. Hunter  
page 2.

4. I believe that the reason given for my discharge is pretextual because my employer had hired an outside investigator, Paul Naselli, to come in and recommend how to legally get rid of employees and that my employer was searching for a way to end the employment relationship.
5. Larry Lewis (30's) also was accused of creating a hostile work environment as I was but he was not discharged.
6. Evita Evans (20's) replaced me.

WVE/DAB/kir